


Appendix 1 - Plan





TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor: M Harrison **Date:** 09/02/11 **Species:** 1 no. Yew
Location: Holy Trinity Church, Street Through Shennington
TPO Ref (if applicable): _____ **Tree/Group No:** _____ **Owner (if known):** _____

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO (*Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only*)

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Good Highly suitable	Notes <i>The tree has several narrow forks Which is normal for this species. Stem has grown around a secondary stem stub but does not affect its stability</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3) Fair Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dead Unsuitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) Dying/dangerous* Unsuitable	

Sub Total **3**

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO (*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality*)

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) 100+ Highly suitable	Notes <i>The tree has sufficient room at the present time. Size may become an issue in time but this can be addressed by minor pruning.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) 40-100 Very suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) 20-40 Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) 10-20 Just suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	0) <10* Unsuitable	

Sub Total **4**

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO - *Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable	Notes <i>The tree is clearly visible from the main Street through Shennington.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Young, small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable	

Sub Total **4**

d) Other factors - *Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify*

<input type="checkbox"/>	5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Notes
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features	

Sub Total **1**

Part 2: Expediency assessment - *Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5) Immediate threat to tree	Notes <i>Conservation notification area notification of removal.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3) Foreseeable threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) Perceived threat to tree	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1) Precautionary only	

Sub Total **5**

1.1 Part 3: Decision guide

0 - Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Total Score **16**

Decision: TPO warranted

Comments